

JANET L. CHUBB, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 176  
LOUIS M. BUBALA III, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 8974  
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE  
50 W. Liberty St., Suite 950  
Reno, NV 89501  
Telephone: (775) 322-7400  
Facsimile: (775) 322-9049  
Email: [jchubb@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:jchubb@armstrongteasdale.com)  
and [bsalinas@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:bsalinas@armstrongteasdale.com)  
and [lbubala@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:lbubala@armstrongteasdale.com)

WILLIAM A. BREWER III, ESQ.  
Texas State Bar No. 02967035  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
MICHAEL J. COLLINS, ESQ.  
Texas State Bar No. 00785495  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
ROBERT M. MILLIMET, ESQ.  
Texas State Bar No. 24025538  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
BICKEL & BREWER  
1717 Main Street, Suite 4800  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: (214) 653-4000  
Facsimile: (214) 653-1015  
Email: [wab@bickelbrewer.com](mailto:wab@bickelbrewer.com)  
[mjc@bickelbrewer.com](mailto:mjc@bickelbrewer.com)  
[rrm@bickelbrewer.com](mailto:rrm@bickelbrewer.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**Electronically Filed on  
August 9, 2012**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
AUG 21 2012	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Nevada

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,  
Debtor.

✓ Case No. 2:07-CV-892-RCJ-GWF-BASE  
and  
Case No. 3:07-CV-241-RCJ-GWF

3685 SAN FERNANDO LENDERS, LLC, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMPASS USA SPE LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

AGREED MOTION [AND ORDER] TO  
VACATE *NUNC PRO TUNC* THE "FINAL  
JUDGMENT AND ORDER" ERRONEOUSLY  
ENTERED ON THE DOCKET IN THE 241  
CASE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60 and this Court's inherent powers, the parties  
in Case No. 2:07-CV-892-RCJ-GWF-BASE (the "892 Case") (which is the main case for, among

other consolidated cases, Case No. 3:07-CV-241-RCJ-GWF (the "241 Case")) hereby jointly and severally move for an order correcting a clerical mistake in the record of the 241 Case by vacating the "Final Judgment And Order" erroneously entered in the 241 Case as docket number 276 ("Document 276"), as follows:

1. On July 15, 2011, the Court inadvertently entered a "Final Judgment And Order" in the 892 Case [892 Case Doc. 2171], which it immediately vacated upon agreed motion of the parties [892 Case Docs. 2174 & 2176].

2. Unbeknownst to the parties in the 892 Case, the clerk of the Court also filed an identical copy of the "Final Judgment And Order" in the 241 Case. [241 Case Doc. 276]

3. No such filing should have been made in the 241 Case because, as the docket in the 241 Case reflects, the Court previously consolidated the 241 Case with the 892 Case, with the 892 Case serving as the "lead case" (emphasis added):

01/09/2008	253	ORDER to Consolidate ; Ord that 3:07-cv-241-RCJ-VPC; 2:07-cv-894-RCJ-GWF; and 2:07-cv-1133-RCJ-GWF are <b>consolidated under 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF (base file.)</b> Signed by Judge Robert C. Jones on 1/9/08. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - VHM) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/22/2008	254	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS of the Honorable Robert C. Jones, U.S. District Judge, on 1/22/2008. <b>This case 3:07-cv-241-RCJ-VPC having been consolidated with BASE CASE 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED</b> that this case is reassigned to Magistrate Judge George W. Foley, Jr. for all further pretrial proceedings. Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke no longer assigned to case. All further documents must bear the correct case number 3:07-cv-241-RCJ-GWF. <b>IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all future filings shall be filed in the designated base file 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF</b> and contain both case numbers as follows: 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF-BASE AND 3:07-cv-241-RCJ-GWF. (no image attached) (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - BLG) (Entered: 01/22/2008)

4. Indeed, many direct lenders who are named plaintiffs in the 892 Case are not named parties in the 241 Case. Similarly, Asset Resolution LLC is not a named party in the 241 Case but is a named party in the 892 Case. Moreover, counsel for all defendants in the 241 Case were no longer counsel for those defendants at the time Document 276 was entered, and all of the counsel for

1 defendants in the 892 Case made appearances well after the 241 Case was consolidated with the 892  
2 Case.

3 5. There was no trial in the 241 Case (except to the extent that it was consolidated with  
4 the 892 Case), and the wording in the "Final Judgment And Order" clearly refers to proceedings  
5 which were conducted in the 892 Case and not in the 241 Case (as a separate case).

6 6. The parties to this motion agree that the Court's order vacating the "Final Judgment  
7 And Order" entered in the 892 Case [892 Case Doc. 2176] should have also operated to vacate the  
8 same order erroneously entered in the 241 Case.

9 7. However, certain lawyers and their law firms, who/which are not parties in the 892  
10 Case but are defendants in adversary proceedings commenced by the chapter 7 trustee on behalf of  
11 the Asset Resolution estate, have filed objections to the "form" of the proposed settlement order in  
12 the Asset Resolution Bankruptcy Cases, and movants herein expect that those parties may seek to  
13 avoid liability in such proceedings by misrepresenting that Document 276 in the 241 Case was a  
14 "final judgment." [See Doc. 1831 in Case No. 09-32824-RCJ] That concern is furthered by some of  
15 those lawyer defendants having moved to transfer the adversary proceeding against them from this  
16 Court to a New York court which would be unfamiliar with the history of the 892 Case and the Asset  
17 Resolution Bankruptcy Cases. [See Doc. 16 in Case No. 12-01154-RCJ]

18 8. In order to avoid any ambiguity regarding whether the entry of Document 276 in the  
19 241 Case was a clerical error, was void when erroneously entered, and/or should have been vacated  
20 when the Court vacated the same document erroneously entered in the 892 Case [see 892 Case Doc.  
21 2176], the parties now hereby jointly move for an order: (a) declaring those facts; (b) vacating  
22 Document 276 in the 241 Case *nunc pro tunc*; (c) terminating ECF filing for the 241 Case and any  
23 other cases that have been consolidated with the 892 Case as the lead case; and (d) granting movants  
24 herein such other relief to which they are entitled.

25 9. This Court has authority under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a) to correct  
26 "[c]lerical mistakes in judgments, orders, or other parts of the record and errors therein . . . at any  
27 time on its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court

orders.”

10. The Court also has authority to vacate Document 276 in the 241 Case under Rule 60(b)(4) (“the judgment is void”), Rule 60(b)(5) (it is not equitable that the judgment shall have prospective application), and/or Rule 60(b)(6) (any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the judgment).

WHEREFORE, movants jointly and severally request that the Court enter an order: (a) declaring that Document 276 entered in the 241 Case was a clerical mistake, was void *ab initio*, and should have been vacated by the Court when it entered Doc. 2176 in the 892 Case; (b) striking Document 276 from the record in the 241 Case and terminating the 241 Case and all other consolidated cases for further ECF filings (so that all filings must be made solely in the 892 Case); and (c) granting movants such other relief to which they are entitled.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2012

AGREED:

BICKEL & BREWER

By: /s/ Robert M. Millimet  
Robert M. Millimet  
Counsel to 892 Case Plaintiffs

THE MAJORIE FIRM LTD.

By: /s/ Francis B. Majorie PC  
Francis B. Majorie PC  
Counsel to 892 Case Silar Defendants

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE

By: /s/ Janet L. Chubb  
Janet L. Chubb  
Counsel to 892 Case Plaintiffs

LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD.

By: /s/ Dan Hayward  
Dan Hayward  
Counsel to 892 Case Compass Defendants

SULLIVAN, HILL, LEWIN, REZ  
& ENGLE, PC

By: /s/ Jonathan S. Dabbieri

Jonathan S. Dabbieri  
Counsel to Chapter 7 Trustee for  
the Asset Resolution LLC

BORIS PISKUN

By: /s/ Boris Piskun

Boris Piskun  
Pro se

ORDER

After reviewing the foregoing motion and the record (including without limitation the consolidation orders by this Court and the Court's dockets), the Court hereby rules *sua sponte*:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the "Final Judgment And Order" entered on the docket as Document 276 in Case No. 3:07-cv-241-RCJ-VPC (the "241 Case") was a clerical mistake and was void *ab initio* insofar as the 241 Case was consolidated with Case No. 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF (Base) (the "892 Case"), with the 892 Case as the lead case in which all filings should have been made;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this Court's order entered in the 892 Case [892 Case Doc. 2176] vacating the same document in the 892 Case should have also vacated *nunc pro tunc* the document filed in the 241 Case as Document 276;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Document 276 in the 241 Case shall be stricken from the record in that case; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the clerk shall file a copy of this Order in the 241 Case and thereafter immediately terminate for further ECF filings the 241 Case and all other cases which have been consolidated with the 892 Case (so that all future filings must be made solely in the 892 Case).

DATED THIS 22 DAY OF August, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF JUDGE ROBERT C. JONES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT